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#### Abstract

Certain problems of quantum physics (for example, the Aharonov-Bohrn effect) lead to the eigenvalue problem for a Schrödinger operator with wave multifunctions. For a multiplyconnected configuration space with a simplest topology (for example, for a $n$-dimensional torus) this problem was considered by several authors. In the present paper, by using rigorous mathematical methods we investigate this problem on an arbitrary multi-dimensional smooth manifold (possibly, with a boundary). We carefully define the concept of multifunctions, then we introduce spaces of these objects similar to $L_{2}$ and $H_{0}^{1}$. Finally, we present a spectral theorem on the existence of a self-adjoint extension of a Schrödinger operator in the introduced spaces which implies the completeness of the system of eigenfunctions of this operator in the considered functional spaces.


## 1. Introduction

Certain problems of quantum physics require the study of a Schrödinger equation in spaces of multifunctions. For example, this occurs in the known Aharonov-Bohm effect [1]. In this case, it is known that calculations with multifunctions and without the potential of the magnetic field, and calculations with usual (one-valued) wavefunctions and with the magnetic potential, give identical results. In view of this, it seems natural to consider wave multifunctions in certain cases. Earlier, working in this direction (using the multifunction approach) only configuration spaces with the simplest topology were considered (for example, the problem has been treated when the configuration space is a torus, see [2]).

We consider the general case of an arbitrary connected smooth (of the class $C^{\infty}$ ) oriented Riemannian manifold $M, \operatorname{dim} M=d$, with a smooth boundary $\partial M$ which may be empty. We carefully define multifunctions on $M$ by analogy with the simple cases above, introduce spaces similar to $L_{2}$ or $H_{0}^{1}$ of these objects and prove the existence of a self-adjoint extension of a Schrödinger operator in these spaces. An approach to the definition of multifunctions, similar to our approach but without mathematical accuracy, is contained in the monograph [3]. Finally, we do not touch upon delicate questions of the Floquet theory (see [2]).

## 2. Multifunctions

Let $C$ be the set of continuous piecewise smooth maps from [0, 1] into $M$; $x_{0} \in M$ be a fixed point; $C_{0}$ be the subset of the set $C, C_{0}=\left\{\gamma \in C \mid \gamma(0)=x_{0}\right\} ; C_{1}=\left\{\gamma \in C_{0} \mid \gamma(1)=x_{0}\right\}$.
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For any two paths $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in C$ satisfying $\gamma_{1}(0)=\gamma_{2}(1)$ we introduce their product $\gamma_{1} \circ \gamma_{2}=\gamma$ where $\gamma(t)=\gamma_{2}(2 t)$ if $t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $\gamma(t)=\gamma_{1}(2 t-1)$ for $t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$. By analogy, $\gamma^{-1}(t)=\gamma(1-t)$. As usual, we call two paths $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ from $C_{1}$ equivalent if there exists a continuous homotopy $\sigma(s, t)$, where $s, t \in[0,1]$, such that $\sigma(0, t)=\gamma_{1}(t), \sigma(1, t)=\gamma_{2}(t)$ and $\sigma(s, 0)=\sigma(s, 1)=x_{0}$. We denote the set of equivalence classes of paths by $K$. Then, in the set $K$ one has a natural operator of multiplication: if $k_{1}, k_{2} \in K$ and $\gamma_{1} \in k_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in k_{2}$, then $k_{1} \circ k_{2}$ is the class $k \in K$ containing the path $\gamma_{1} \circ \gamma_{2}$.

Since $M$ is a Riemannian manifold, $M$ is a metric space with a distance $d(x, y)$ ( $x, y \in M$ ). Then, one can introduce a distance in the set $C$, making it a metric space, by the rule

$$
\rho\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \min _{s \in[0,1]} d\left(\gamma_{1}(t), \gamma_{2}(s)\right)+\max _{t \in[0,1]} \min _{s \in[0,1]} d\left(\gamma_{1}(s), \gamma_{2}(t)\right)
$$

(Axioms of the metric space can easily be verified.) Further, by standard arguments, for any $\gamma_{0} \in C_{1}$ there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that if $\rho\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma\right)<\epsilon$ for a path $\gamma \in C_{1}$, then $\gamma$ is equivalent to $\gamma_{0}$.

Definition 1. We say that a real function $\theta$ defined on $C_{0}$ is admissible iff
(i) $\theta(\gamma)=0$ for any path $\gamma \in C_{1}$ equivalent to the trivial one $\gamma_{0}(t) \equiv x_{0}$;
(ii) $\theta\left(\gamma_{1} \circ \gamma_{2}\right)=\theta\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+\theta\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ for any $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in C_{1}$.

Remark 1. One can easily verify that there exists a non-trivial admissible function. Indeed, taking a closed smooth differential 1-form $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{d} f_{i}(x) \mathrm{d} x_{i}$ (so that $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$ ) and setting for any $\gamma \in C_{0}$

$$
\theta(\gamma)=\int_{\gamma} \omega
$$

we obtain a function $\theta$ satisfying definition 1 .
Definition 2. We call a complex function $f$ defined on $C_{0}$ the multifunction defined on $M$ iff for any $x \in M$ and any $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in C_{0}$ such that $\gamma_{1}(1)=\gamma_{2}(1)=x$ one has

$$
f\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=f\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1} o \gamma_{2}\right)}
$$

Let us show that there exist non-trivial multifunctions. Let $U \subset M \backslash \partial M$ be an open card diffeomorphic to the unit ball $B=B_{1}(0)=\left\{z \in R^{d}| | z \mid<1\right\}$ and $f_{0}$ be a complex function defined on $M$ with a support in $U$. For any $\gamma \in C_{0}, \gamma(1) \notin U$ we set $f(\gamma)=0$. Take arbitrary $x_{1} \in U$ and fix a path $\gamma_{0} \in C_{0}: \gamma_{0}(1)=x_{1}$. Let $\gamma \in C_{0}$ be an arbitrary path joining $x_{0}$ with $x \in U$. We set

$$
f(\gamma)=f_{0}(\gamma(1)) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta\left(\gamma_{0}^{-1} \sigma \sigma^{-1} \circ \gamma\right)}
$$

where $\sigma$ is an arbitrary path from $C$ joining $x_{1}$ with $\gamma(1)$ and contained in $U$. One can easily verify that $f(\gamma)$ is a well-defined multifunction.

Operations of addition of multifunctions and multiplication of a multifunction by a usual (one-valued) function have been introduced naturally $\left(f(\gamma)=f_{1}(\gamma)+f_{2}(\gamma)\right.$ and $g(\gamma)=\alpha(\gamma(1)) f(\gamma)$ where $f, f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $g$ are multifunctions and $\alpha$ is a one-valued function). Further, the complex adjoint function to a multifunction $f$ is defined pointwise, too, and it is clear that this is a multifunction with respect to the admissible function $\theta_{1}=-\theta$.

## 3. Continuity and differentiability of multifunctions

Let $\gamma_{0} \in C_{1}$. Since there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $\gamma \in C_{1}$ is equivalent to $\gamma_{0}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma\right)<\epsilon \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

a multifunction $f$ as a function of $\gamma$ satisfying (1) is the usual (one-valued) function of $x=\gamma(1) \in M$, i.e. locally any multifunction is a function of points of the manifold $M$. (Indeed, if $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are paths from $C_{0}$ satisfying (1) and $\gamma_{1}(1)=\gamma_{2}(1)$, then these two paths are homotopic, hence $f\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=f\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ and therefore $f$ is a function $\phi$ only of $x=\gamma_{i}(1)$.) Using these arguments, we introduce the following.

Definition.3. A multifunction $f$ is called continuous in a point $\gamma_{0}$ (resp., infinitely differentiable in $M \backslash \partial M$ ) if there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that the corresponding function $\phi$ is continuous in $x$ in a neighbourhood of the point $\gamma_{0}(1)$ (resp., each function $\phi$ is infinitely differentiable in a neighbourhood of the point $\left.x_{0}=\gamma_{0}(1) \notin \partial M\right)$.

Definition 4. Let $S$ be the set of all $x \in M$ such that for a given continuous multifunction $f$ there exists a path $\gamma, \gamma(1)=x$, such that $f(\gamma) \neq 0$ and let $\bar{S}$ be the closure of the set $S$. We call $\bar{S}$ the support of $f(\bar{S}=\operatorname{supp}(f))$.

Definition 5. By $D_{0}^{\infty}$ we denote the set of infinitely differentiable in $M \backslash \partial M$ multifunctions, the support of each satisfying

$$
\operatorname{dist}(\bar{S}, \partial M)>0
$$

where $\operatorname{dist}(A, B)=\inf _{x \in A, y \in B} d(x, y)$.

## 4. The space of square-integrable multifunctions

Take arbitrary multifunctions $f$ and $g$. We set $(f \bar{g})(\gamma)=f(\gamma) \bar{g}(\gamma)$ where $\bar{g}$ is the complex adjoint multifunction to $g$. We state that $f \bar{g}$ is a usual (one-valued) function on $M$ depending only on $x=\gamma(1)$. Let us prove this statement.

Take arbitrary $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in C_{0}$ such that $\gamma_{1}(1)=\gamma_{2}(1)=x \in M$. We should prove that $f\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \bar{g}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=f\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \bar{g}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$, only, but according to the above results (see section 2) $f\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} f\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ and $\bar{g}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{j} \theta} \bar{g}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ for some $\theta$, and thus the statement is proved.

One can verify that the expression $\|f\|=\left\{\int_{M} f \bar{f}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a norm in the space $D_{0}^{\infty}$. Using this fact, we introduce the following.

Definition 6. We denote by $F_{2}$ the completion of the space $D_{0}^{\infty}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. In fact, $F_{2}$ is a Hilbert space and $D_{0}^{\infty}$ is a dense linear subspace in this space. By (., .) we denote the scalar product in the space $F_{2}\left((f, g)=\int_{M}(f \bar{g})(x)\right)$.

## 5. Laplacian

Let $U$ be an open card on $M$ with a coordinate function $\phi: B \rightarrow U$ where $B$ is a $d$ dimensional open ball from $R^{d}$ so that $\phi(z)=x \in M$ and $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}\right) \in B$. For smooth in $U$ usual (one-valued) functions $f$ the known Laplace-Beltrami operator takes the following form:

$$
\Delta f=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i, j}(z)\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left[\left(\left(\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i, j}(z)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i, j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} f(\phi(z))\right]\right.
$$

where $g_{i, j}(z)$ and $g^{i, j}(z)$ are, respectively, covariant and contravariant components of the Riemannian tensor. It is essential to note that if $M$ is a domain in an Euclidian space with the corresponding metric then the Laplace-Beltrami operator becomes the usual Laplacian. Therefore, it is natural to give the following.

Definition 7. Let $f \in D_{0}^{\infty}$, let $U$ be the above open card on $M$ and $\gamma_{0} \in C_{0}$ be a path such that $\gamma_{0}(1)=x_{0} \in U$. Then, since locally $f$ is a function $\psi(x)$ of points $x \in M$ from a neighbourhood of the point $x_{0}$, we set

$$
\Delta f(\gamma)=\Delta \psi(x)
$$

for all paths $\gamma$ sufficiently close to $\gamma_{0}$. We call the operator $\Delta$ the Laplacian or the LaplaceBeltrami operator. One can easily verify that $\Delta f(\gamma)$ is a multifunction in the sense of definition 2 with the same admissible function $\theta$.

Lemma 1. The operator $-\Delta$ is symmetric and non-negative in the space $D_{0}^{\infty}$ equipped by the scalar product from $F_{2}$.

Proof. As above, for any $\phi, \psi \in D_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x) \bar{\psi}(x)$ is a usual (one-valued) function on $M$. Let $R=\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \bigcup \operatorname{supp}(\psi)$. Then, $R$ is a compact set and $\operatorname{dist}(R ; \partial M)>0$. Let $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{l}$ be its covering by open in $M$ cards diffeomorphic to $B$ and let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{l}$ be smooth ( $\sigma_{k}$ are infinitely differentiable) non-negative functions defined on $M$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\sigma_{k}\right) \subset U_{k}, k=\overline{1, l}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{l} \sigma_{k}(x)=1$ for all $x \in R$. Then, one obtains (because the Laplacian is symmetric on usual functions)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Delta \phi, \psi)= & \sum_{k, m=1}^{l}\left(\Delta \phi_{k}, \psi_{m}\right)=\sum_{k, m=1}^{l}\left(\Delta \tilde{\phi}_{k}, \tilde{\psi}_{m}\right)=\sum_{k, m=1}^{l}\left(\tilde{\phi}_{k}, \Delta \tilde{\psi}_{m}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k, m=1}^{l}\left(\phi_{k}, \Delta \psi_{m}\right)=(\phi, \Delta \psi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_{k}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{m}$ are usual (one-valued) functions with supports in $U_{k}$ and $U_{k} \cap U_{m}$, respectively, which are obtained by fixing any $\gamma_{k} \in C_{0}(k=\overline{1, l})$ such that $\gamma_{k}(1) \in U_{k}$ and taking $\tilde{\phi}_{k}(x)=\phi_{k}\left(\eta_{k} \circ \gamma_{k}\right), \tilde{\psi}_{m}(x)=\psi_{m}\left(\eta_{k} \circ \gamma_{k}\right)$ for $x=\eta_{k}(1)$ where $\eta_{k}(0)=\gamma_{k}(1)$, $\eta_{k}(t) \in U_{k} \bigcap U_{m}$ and $\eta_{k} \in C$. By analogy, we introduce functions $\tilde{\phi}: \tilde{\phi}(x)=\phi\left(\eta_{k} \circ \gamma_{k}\right)$ for $x=\eta_{k}(1) \in U_{k}$. Thus, the Laplacian is symmetric.

By analogy,

$$
\begin{align*}
(-\Delta \phi, \phi) & =\sum_{k, m=1}^{l}\left(-\Delta \phi_{k}, \phi_{m}\right)=\sum_{k, m=1}^{l} \int_{G_{k, m}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i, j}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i, j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} \tilde{\phi}_{k}(z) \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}_{m}(z) \\
& =\sum_{k, m=1}^{l} \int_{G_{k, m}} \sigma_{k}(z) \sigma_{m}(z) \sum_{i, j=1}^{d}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i, j}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i, j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} \tilde{\phi}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \tilde{\phi}(z)+J \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{k, m}$ is the pre-image of $U_{k} \cap U_{m}$ and we mean that the integrand is non-zero only in $U_{k} \cap U_{m}$. Now, to prove lemma 1 , it suffices to prove that $J=0$. Not writing the whole expression for $J$ (one can easily do it), we prove that
$\int_{G_{k, m}} \sum_{k, m=1}^{l} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} \sigma_{k}(z)\right] \sigma_{m}(z)\left(\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i, j}(z)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i, j}(z)(\overline{\tilde{\phi}} \bar{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\phi})(z) \mathrm{d} z=0$.
Then, by analogy, one can repeat this proof for all other terms in the expression for $J$ showing the equality $J=0$.

To prove (3), we observe that the expression $(\bar{\phi} \bar{\partial} \overline{\partial z} \tilde{\phi})(z)$ generates a usual (one-valued) smooth vector field on $M$. Therefore, at any point $z$ the expression

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} \sigma_{k}(z) g^{i, j}(z) \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \tilde{\phi}(z)}
$$

is a scalar product of two vectors. Hence,
$\sum_{k=1}^{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left[\sigma_{k}(z)\right] g^{i, j}(z) \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \tilde{\phi}(z)}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{l} \sigma_{k}(z)\right] g^{i, j}(z) \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \tilde{\phi}(z)}=0$
and, thus, lemma 1 is proved.

## 6. Spectral theory

Let $V(x) \geqslant-V_{0}$ be a real continuous function on $M$ where $V_{0}=$ const. independent of $x \in M$. Consider the operator $H=-\Delta+V(x)$. By the above arguments, this is a bounded from below symmetric operator on $D_{0}^{\infty}$. Therefore, it has a self-adjoint extension in $F_{2}$ with the same lower boundary.

If the manifold $M$ is compact, we can present a more complete information about the operator $H$. In fact, in this case $H$ has a self- adjoint extension with a discrete spectrum, only, which consists of eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}>0(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$ monotonously converging to $+\infty$, and to any $\lambda_{n}$ there corresponds a finite number of orthonormal eigenfunctions; two eigenfunctions corresponding to non-equal eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$ and $\lambda_{m}$ are orthogonal in $F_{2}$. To prove this statement, it suffices to prove that there exists $a>0$ such that the operator $(H+a I)^{-1}$ is compact, positive and symmetric (here $I$ is the identical operator).

Let $H_{1}=H_{1}(M)$ be the completion of the space $D_{0}^{\infty}$ with the norm $\|f\|_{1}=$ $((H+a I) f, f)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where $a=V_{0}+1$.

Lemma 2. Let $M$ be a compact manifold. Then, the space $H_{1}$ is compactly embedded in $F_{2}$.

Proof. First, since $\|f\|_{1} \geqslant\|f\|$ for all $f \in D_{0}^{\infty}$, the space $H_{1}$ is continuously embedded into $F_{2}$ for the manifold $M$ without the requirement of its compactness. Let $M$ be a compact manifold. Fix an arbitrary finite covering $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{l}$ of $M$ by open cards each of which is diffeomorphic to the ball $B$ or the half-ball $B_{1}=\left\{z \in B \mid z_{1} \geqslant 0\right\}$. Let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{l}$ be the corresponding smooth partition of unity (so that $\sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_{i}(x)=1$ for all $x \in M, \sigma_{i}(x) \geqslant 0$ and $\left.\operatorname{supp}\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \subset U_{i}\right)$. Further, we take arbitrary paths $\gamma_{i} \in C_{0}$ leading to some $x_{i} \in U_{i}$. As in the proof of lemma 1, let $f_{k}(x)(x \in M)$ be (one-valued) functions in $U_{k}$ obtained by taking paths $\beta_{k} \subset U_{k}, \beta_{k}(0)=x_{k}, \beta_{k}(1)=x$ and setting $f_{k}(x)=f\left(\beta_{k} \circ \gamma_{k}\right)$. Then, according to formula (2) (where $J=0$ ), one has for $f \in D_{0}^{\infty},\|f\|_{1}$ greater or equal to

$$
\left\{\sum_{k, m=1}^{l} \int_{G_{k, m}} \sigma_{k}(z) \sigma_{m}(z) \operatorname{det}\left(g_{i, j}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} g^{i, j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{t}} f_{k}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} f_{k}(z)}+f_{k} \overline{f_{k}}\right] \mathrm{d} z\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Obviously, there exists $r>0$ such that the pre-images $E_{k, m}$ of the domains

$$
V_{k, m}=\left\{x \in U_{k} \bigcap U_{m} \mid \sigma_{k}(x)>r, \sigma_{m}(x)>r\right\}
$$

cover $M$. Therefore, $\|f\|_{1} \geqslant C\left\|f_{k}(z)\right\|_{H^{1}\left(E_{k, m}\right)}$ for all $k, m$. Hence, if $R$ is a set of functions bounded in the norm of $H_{1}$, then for all $k, m=\overline{1, l}$ the sets $R_{k, m}$ of corresponding functions $f_{k}(z)$ are compact in $L_{2}\left(E_{k, m}\right)$. This easily implies the statement of lemma 2.

Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H+a I) u=f \in F_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the unknown function $u \in H_{1}$. Multiplying(4) by $v \in H_{1}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{1}=(f, v) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in H_{1}$. In view of the equality (5), for any $f \in F_{2}$ there corresponds a unique $u \in H_{1}$ such that the equality (5) takes place for all $v \in H_{\mathrm{l}}$, and, in addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1} \leqslant C\|f\| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for proofs, see [4]; they are based on the usual technique of proving the existence and uniqueness of a generalized solution to a linear elliptic equation).

By $B$ we denote the operator mapping arbitrary $f \in F_{2}$ into $u \in H_{1}$ where $u$ satisfies (5). According to (6) and lemma $2, B$ is a compact operator in $F_{2}$.

Further, since for $u, v \in H_{1}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
(B u, v)_{1}=(u, v)=\overline{(v, u)}=\overline{(B v, u)_{1}}=(u, B v)_{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the operator $B$ is self-adjoint in $H_{1}$. By analogy, $B$ is a non-negative operator in $H_{1}$.
To prove that $B$ is a compact operator in $H_{1}$, consider an arbitrary bounded set $R \subset H_{1}$. In particular, any sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset R$ contains a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_{k}}\right\}$ strongly converging in $F_{2}$. Therefore, the sequence $B f_{n_{k}}$ strongly converges in $H_{1}$, and the compactness of the operator $B$ in $H_{1}$ is proved.

According to the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem, there exists an orthonormal basis in $H_{1}$ consisting of eigenfunctions of the operator $B$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{n} \geqslant 0$ of finite multiplicities and there exists a monotonous limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=0$. We denote the corresponding eigenfunctions of the operator $B$ by $u_{n}$ accepting that each eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$ appears in the sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ so many times which is its multiplicity.

Then, obviously the space $F_{2}$ is an analogue of the space $L_{2}$, and, since we consider applications of our construction in quantum mechanics, we need to prove a spectral expansion for the operator $H$ in $F_{2}$. However, this result follows from the above one. Indeed, since $u_{n} \in F_{2}$ for all $n$ and since the space $H_{1}$ is dense in $F_{2}$, one has that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a basis in $F_{2}$ which is orthogonal by the equality (7). Finally, if $B u=0$ for $u \in F_{2}$, then according to (7) one has $(u, v)=0$ for all $v \in H_{1}$, hence $u=0$. Thus, there exists an operator $B^{-1}$ mapping the image of the operator $B$ into $F_{2}$. Further, since for $u \in D_{0}^{\infty}$ $B^{-1} u=(H+a I) u$ (i.e. if $u \in D_{0}^{\infty}, B^{-1} u$ is determined and coincides with $\left.(H+a I) u\right)$, the operator $B^{-1}$ is self-adjoint in $F_{2}$ and it is a self-adjoint extension of the operator ( $H+a I$ ). Thus, we have proved the following result:

Theorem 1. Let the manifold $M$ be compact. Then, the operator $H$ with the domain $D_{0}^{\infty}$ considered in $F_{2}$ has a self-adjoint extension with only a discrete spectrum $\left\{\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right\}$ (here $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}^{-1}=+\infty$ ) where each eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}^{-1}$ is of a finite multiplicity.

A brief variant of the paper (without proofs) will be published in [5].
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